

PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

2.00PM, WEDNESDAY, 4 SEPTEMBER 2019
COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website <u>www.brighton-hove.gov.uk</u>. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through <u>ModernGov:</u> <u>iOS/Windows/Android</u>

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

ADDENDUM

ITEM

Late/Additional Representations

4th September 2019 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
49	Belgrave Training Centre, Clarendon Place, Portslade	BH2018/03629	S106 Heads of Terms: Correction to Indoor/Outdoor Recreation contribution should read '£93,406' not £933,406. S278 Agreement: Reference to the width of the footway on Clarendon Place should be a minimum clearance of 1.2 metres, not 1metre. This also affects Condition 42 which should be amended accordingly.
			Conditions: Condition 33 to read: "All dwelling(s) hereby permitted shall be completed in compliance with Building Regulations Operational Requirement M4(2)" The Applicant clarified the fact that units would be capable of being adapted for wheelchair users but specific wheelchair units.
			Condition 42: Amend to refer to a minimum clearance of 1.2 metres, not 1 metre. Condition 43: Remove The Applicants have confirmed that the Japanese Knotweed has been removed by in accordance with Industry and EA Guidelines and have provided details of the Certificate along with the Insurance document.
			Additional Representations: 4 further representations have been received, 3 of which raise objections (includes representations from 2 businesses) and 1 is in support. Objecting: No consideration given to the new aggregate bagging facility at Britannia Wharf, soon to

_

become operational.

- The noise survey, dated November 2018 was carried out before Britannia Wharf came into operation with no mention of noise from the road, from the operational port and wharf activities which are protected by Policy.
- Noise Assessment is inadequate and details cannot be left for submission by condition.
- Queries are raised in relation to noise monitoring periods and if during a time when aggregate was being unloaded overnight as the Cemex site) operates at all hours including Saturday mornings.
- Policy DA8 supports some new housing but also to develop and improve operational port areas/uses.
- Poor choice for housing.
- Only 280m from Cemex's Brighton Plant and Wharf on Wellington Road, recently granted planning permission for redevelopment to a new a new ship to dock with no restrictions on the arrival with marine aggregates apart from the restrictions on the new permission.
- SHJAAP policies are clear that new residential development sites must take account of existing Port Uses, incorporating adequate mitigation into the design.
- No consideration has been given to how the residential development will affect these wharves.
- Dwellings at a higher level will be exposed to port activities.
- Noise complaints from new occupiers could severely restrict and affect existing operations, eventually leading to loss of mineral supply.
- If granted complaints will go direct to Environmental Health who are at liberty to impose restrictions on existing businesses.
- Potential to overheat and ability to open windows for purge ventilation will reduce effectiveness of acoustic measures resulting in complaints.
- If future occupants have the option of opening windows for purge ventilation, windows could then be opened and complaints about noise would arise.
- A scheme to protect residents from road noise is not sufficient to protect from industrial and commercial sources.
- Reference is made to a High Court Judgment where Cemex successfully challenged a

planning permission for one residential dwelling next to its operations.

- Despite the current housing land position, does not consider that developments like this should urgently go ahead.
- Safeguarded sites are being squeezed out by residential development.

Officer Response:

The site is located within the development boundary where the principle of development is supported. It also draws attention to the fact that it lies within Character Area 3 of the emerging JAAP and emerging Policy SP2 of CPP2 - Paragraph 9.6 refers. The JAAP acknowledges the wharfage and port uses and in putting CA3 forward for mixed uses with SP2 for residential use which were considered when putting forward.

The assessment considers the relationship between the proposed residential development and existing uses. The relevant consultations were carried out on Noise and Pollution. The recommended conditions are considered to be appropriate to ensure the provision of satisfactory protection of residential amenities.

With the recommended conditions and set against the current housing position in the City, the provision of a 100% Affordable Scheme is considered to be Policy compliant. Noting reference to case law, each case is considered on its merits.

Objectors query the noise survey and one refers to case law which is being considered. A further verbal update will be provided at Committee if required.

In support:

- Good design, sensitive, attractive features.
- · Fits with surroundings including industrial-light context.
- Provision of affordable housing is unquestionably beneficial for Brighton.
- Must take the opportunity to develop as many units on brownfield site as possible.
- Large sums of financial support committed to the local area will help the council deliver on its requirements for supporting residents wellbeing.

- Accepts parking is controversial but must limit use of cars.
- Disincentivising car use for sustainable methods of transport must be a vital part of all new development, particularly urban.

Additional Consultation Responses:

Heritage: Notes the site falls within the setting of Locally Listed Buildings. Considers this to be a superior design with high quality materials and landscaping/planning; compliments the industrial historic use of the area creating interest in the skyscape. Balconies, particularly those to the upper storeys, disrupt clean lines and minimalist approach where visible from Wellington Road. Suggest redesign so that those balconies are integrated into the design of the principle façade or obscured from the approaches along the highway, to provide a greater opportunity to preserve and enhance the setting of the LLBs.

Officer Response: The overall design approach has a visual and architectural cohesiveness which is not affected by the balconies, even when seen at the upper levels and visible from Wellington Road. They serve to enliven those respective elevations. If the design is changed or positions, this will result in a lack of cohesiveness and a need to redesign the interior of the respective flats. In weighing the proposal against the policy requirement to have regard to the significance of the locally listed buildings, on balance, the concern expressed about the higher level balconies it outweighed by the high quality of the scheme's design and the provision of 100% affordable homes which represents an optimal and viable use for this brownfield site.

Sustainable Transport: Provided further comments in respect of the Committee Report reiterating previous comments and making reference to the wording of Travel Plan, nature of the S278 requirements and mitigation measures.

Considerations & Assessment:

Paragraph 9.35: Addition to wording.

Add – SPD14 indicates that the site abuts the Zone 2 – Key Public Transport Corridor albeit being within Zone 3 – Outer Zone. Given the close proximity to the KPTC and public transport networks, it is considered to be in a highly sustainable location.

r	Т	٦	
`	,		

			Paragraph 9.37: Correction. As opposed to stating that 'This scheme proposes to meet the maximum car parking standards with 10 car parking spaces' it should read: 'The development includes a maximum of 10 car parking spaces'.				
119	38 Carden Crescent, Brighton	BH2019/01976	Deferred at applicant's request to allow submission of amendments.				
139	20 Rowan Close, Portslade	BH2019/01577	Condition 1 amended to read;1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved drawings listed below.Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.				
			Plan Type	Reference	Version	Date Received]
			Plan Type Proposed Drawing	Reference 31	Version A	Date Received 27 August 2019	
			Proposed Drawing			27 August 2019	
				31		27 August 2019 28 May 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22	A	27 August 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22 23	A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24	A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019	
			Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25 26	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019	
			Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25 26	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019 28 May 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Block Plan	31 22 23 24 25 26 27	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019 28 May 2019 3 June 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Block Plan Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25 26 27	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019 28 May 2019 3 June 2019 28 May 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Block Plan Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019 28 May 2019 3 June 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019	
			Proposed Drawing Block Plan Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing Proposed Drawing	31 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	A A A	27 August 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019 28 May 2019 27 August 2019 28 May 2019 3 June 2019 28 May 2019 12 August 2019 12 August 2019	

			Proposed Drawing	11	Α	12 August 2019
			Proposed Drawing	13	Α	27 August 2019
			Proposed Drawing	14		28 May 2019
			Proposed Drawing	16		28 May 2019
			Proposed Drawing	17	Α	12 August 2019
			Proposed Drawing	18		28 May 2019
			Proposed Drawing	19		28 May 2019
			Proposed Drawing	20	Α	12 August 2019
			Proposed Drawing	21	Α	12 August 2019
177	27 Baxter Street,	BH2019/01591	Deferred to allow for	re-consultation	n following amer	ndment to description of development.
	Brighton					

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).